

OFFICIAL

| CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION  |                         |                                  |                   |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. |                         |                                  |                   |
| Name (Print/Type)   | Michael A. Moose        | Centralized Fax No. 703-872-9306 |                   |
| Signature   | <i>Michael A. Moose</i> | Date                             | February 25, 2004 |

## PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

|                               |   |                                |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| In re Application of          | ) |                                |
| PAUL A. SECHRIST              | ) |                                |
|                               | ) | Examiner: Strickland, Jonas N. |
| Serial No.: 10/007,853        | ) |                                |
|                               | ) | Art Unit: 1754                 |
| Filed: November 5, 2001       | ) |                                |
|                               | ) |                                |
| Attorney Docket No. 106462    | ) |                                |
|                               | ) |                                |
| CYCLIC CATALYST REGENERATION  | ) |                                |
| PROCESS USING ADSORPTION      | ) |                                |
| AND DESORPTION ON VENT STREAM | ) |                                |

REPLY

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office action dated September 25, 2003, favorable reconsideration of the subject application is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are pending.

Claims 1-4, 18, and 19 are objected to because they recite "selected from the group consisting of". It is believed that these claims are acceptable, since one acceptable form of alternative expression in claims is a Markush group, which recites members as being "selected from the group consisting of ...". MPEP § 2173.05(h) Alternative Limitations, I. Markush Groups. Therefore, it is submitted that these objections should be withdrawn.

10/007,853 Reply